

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: MIRENA IUD PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2434

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -14)


On April 8, 2013, the Panel transferred 7 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* F.Supp.2d (J.P.M.L. 2013). Since that time, 128 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of New York. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Cathy Seibel.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of New York and assigned to Judge Seibel.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Southern District of New York for the reasons stated in the order of April 8, 2013, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cathy Seibel.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:




Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is
pending at this time, the
stay is lifted.

Aug 29, 2013

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

A CERTIFIED COPY
RUBY J. KRAJICK, CLERK

BY 
Deputy Clerk

**IN RE: MIRENA IUD PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2434

SCHEDULE CTO-14 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA EASTERN			
CAE	2	13-01665	Fadel v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc.
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	3	13-03700	Dias v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
CAN	4	13-03701	Adams et al v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.
GEORGIA NORTHERN			
GAN	1	13-02513	Londono v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
GAN	1	13-02514	Redd v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
GAN	1	13-02596	Nye v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
GAN	1	13-02599	Hall v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
GAN	1	13-02601	Davis v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
GAN	1	13-02602	Shelton v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
GAN	1	13-02621	Garcia v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
GAN	1	13-02639	Martinez v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
GAN	1	13-02640	Sadira v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
GAN	1	13-02656	Dangar et al v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
ILLINOIS CENTRAL			
ILC	3	13-03230	Stephens v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	13-02141	Yasin et al v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc.